

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/722,849	11/26/2003	Jing Ma	549062000100	8161
30542 75	90 08/22/2006		EXAMINER	
FOLEY & LARDNER LLP P.O. BOX 80278			DUFFY, BRADLEY	
SAN DIEGO, CA 92138-0278			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1643	
			DATE MAILED: 08/22/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<del></del>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
	10/722,849	MA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_
	Brad Duffy	1643	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPOWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IT after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26.</li> <li>This action is FINAL.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under</li> </ol>	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-74 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-74 are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected to by the Examination is objected.	ccepted or b) objected to by the edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob-	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). njected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure.  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received.  Ints have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) \( \sum \) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) \( \sum \) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)	ate	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)	Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/722,849 Page 2

Art Unit: 1643

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-12, 35-38, 63-66 and 73-74, drawn to antibodies or antibody fragments that inhibit the binding of a SM5-1 specific monoclonal antibody and/or bind specifically to a SM5-1 target antigen, classified in class 530, subclass 387.1.
- II. Claims 13-30, drawn to isolated polynucleotides, vectors and cells containing said polynucleotides encoding antibodies, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1.
- III. Claims 31-34, drawn to a method of producing an antibody, or a fragment thereof, classified in class 435, subclass 362.
- IV. Claims 39-48, drawn to a method of treating neoplasm in a mammal using an antibody, classified in class 424, subclass 141.1.
- V. Claims 49-50 and 52-54, drawn to a combination comprising an antineoplasm agent and antibodies or antibody fragments that inhibit the binding of a SM5-1 specific monoclonal antibody and/or bind specifically to a SM5-1 target antigen, classified in class 530, subclass 387.3
- VI. Claims 51 and 55, drawn to a method of treating neoplasm in a mammal using an anti-neoplasm agent and an antibody, classified in class 424, subclass 138.1.

Application/Control Number: 10/722,849 Page 3

Art Unit: 1643

VII. Claims 56-62, drawn to a method of inducing caspase-10 mediated apoptosis in a cell in a mammal, classified in class 424, subclass 130.1.

- VIII. Claims 67-72, drawn to a method for assaying for human SM5-1 target antigen in a sample, classified in class 435, subclass 7.23.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions of Groups I-II and V represent separate and distinct products, which are made by materially different methods, and are used in materially different methods, which have different modes of operation, different functions and different effects. The antibodies of Group I, the polynucleotides of Group II and the antibody/anti-neoplasm agent combination of Group V are structurally and chemically different from each other. A polynucleotide's structure is comprised of linear, contiguous nucleotides with variable structure, an antibody's structure is comprised of linear, contiguous amino acids that fold into a specific three-dimensional structure and an anti-neoplasm agent structure is undefined, but includes a diverse genus of structures from small molecules to polypeptides. The antibody is raised by immunization, the polynucleotide is made by nucleic acid synthesis and an anti-neoplasm agent could be made by a diverse array of processes, i.e. chemical synthesis or translation of mRNA. Furthermore, the antibody can be used for purifying antigen, the polynucleotide can be used for hybridization screening, and the anti-neoplasm agent can be used for methods of treatment. Additionally, the invention of Group V requires an anti-neoplasm agent, which is not required by any of the other groups. The examination of all groups would require

Application/Control Number: 10/722,849

Art Unit: 1643

different searches in the U.S. Patent shoes and the scientific literature and would require the consideration of different patentability issues. Thus, the inventions of Groups I-II and V are patentably distinct.

The methods of inventions of Groups III-IV and VI-VIII differ in the method objectives, method steps and parameters and in the reagents used. The invention of Group III recites a method of producing an antibody or antibody fragment recombinantly. The inventions of Group IV and VI-VIII recite methods of using an antibody to treat neoplasm, using an anti-neoplasm agent and antibody to treat neoplasm, using an antibody to induce apoptosis, or using an antibody to assay for SM5-1 antigen, respectively. Therefore, the methods of inventions of Groups III-IV and VI-VIII differ in the method objectives, method steps, parameters and reagents used. The examination of all groups would require different searches in the U.S. Patent shoes and the scientific literature and would require the consideration of different patentability issues. Thus, the inventions of Groups III-IV and VI-VIII are separate and distinct in having different method objectives, method steps, parameters, reagents used and different endpoints and are patentably distinct.

Inventions of Group I and Group III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the antibody fragments of Group I could be made by a cell-free system method, such as an *in vitro E. coli* cell-free

Application/Control Number: 10/722,849 Page 5

Art Unit: 1643

translation system, which is materially different process than the cell-dependent system method claimed in Group III and is therefore distinct.

Inventions of Group I and Group IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case, the antibody of Group I could be used in a materially different process such as purifying the antigen, or the materially different process of inducing apoptosis of Group V, or the materially different process of assaying for the antigen of Group VI, which differ in the method objectives, method steps and parameters from the method of treating neoplasm of Group IV and are therefore distinct.

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter and different classifications, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

  Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if

Art Unit: 1643

the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier.

Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116;

amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

Page 7 Application/Control Number: 10/722,849

Art Unit: 1643

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(l).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 6. examiner should be directed to Brad Duffy whose telephone number is (571) 272-9935. The examiner can normally be reached at Monday through Friday from 7:00 AM to 4:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Larry Helms, can be reached at (571) 272-0832. The official fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Respectfully, //

571-272-9935

David Blanchard AU 1643 Famil Blh